

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/680,108	10/08/2003	Ta-Kuang Yeh	OP-092000277	4465
46103	7590 07/05/2005		EXAM	INER
HDSL	NO DAMENTA LANG		STERLING, AMY JO	
4331 STEVENS BATTLE LANE FAIRFAX, VA 22033			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
**************************************			3632	
			DATE MAILED: 07/05/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/680,108	YEH, TA-KUANG				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Amy J. Sterling	3632				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a recommendation of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1.  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re eply within the statutory minimum of thirty d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT ute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14	April 2005.					
	nis action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,5 and 6 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdom 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3, 5,6 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Apionity documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ımmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date				
<ul> <li>Notice of Drattsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>		formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3632

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This is the **Final Office Action** for application number 10/680,108 Support Structure, filed on 10/8/03. Claims 1-3,5 and 6 are pending. This **Final Office Action** is in response to applicant's reply dated 4/14/05. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

## Claim Objections

Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 1 recites "an elongate body member" in line 2 and "the elongate member" in line 7. Although it appears that the terms are referring to the same element, in order to be clear, the terminology for referring to the same element should remain the same throughout the claim.

Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-3, 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to

Art Unit: 3632

one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claim 1 recites that "an elongate body member....being bendable and foldable into a plurality of shapes". This subject matter was not included in the original disclosure and therefore constitutes new matter and must be cancelled from the claim.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-3, 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites that the "elongate body...is bendable and foldable into a plurality of shapes..." and it is unclear as to what is meant by a "plurality of shapes".

Claim 1 recites, "two ends of the elongate member" in line 6 and 7 and then later recites that "the exterior covering member has a diameter gradually recited from two ends to a center thereof" and it appears to be referring to the "ends" of the cover not the elongated body member, the "ends of the cover" which have not been previously recited.

Art Unit: 3632

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 1-3,5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over United States Patent No. 5810306 to Hung et al. and in view of United States Publication No. 2004/0047052 to Zadro

Hung et al. teaches every feature of the claimed invention including an elongate body member (10) made of a flexible aluminum material (See Col. 3, line 2-3) with a roughened surface and being bendable and foldable into a plurality of shapes while it retains the bent shape until subsequent repositions, an exterior cover member (41) made of soft plastic material (See Col. 3 line 48) directly formed on the elongate body (the process by which the device is formed is not given structural weight as pertaining to the "injection molding" of claim 1) and has a bellow configuration wherein the exterior covering member exposes two exposed ends (48) of the elongate member, wherein the exterior covering member had diameter gradually reduced from the two ends (48) to a center thereof and a holding device (50) connected to the other exposed end of the elongate body member.

Hung et al. does not teach a suction disk device connected to one of the exposed end of the elongate body member.

Hung et al discloses an elongate body member (33) having two exposed ends with a suction disk device (55) connected to an exposed end of the elongate body member, the suction disk used to removably attach the elongate body member to a desired surface. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art

Art Unit: 3632

to have added a suction device to an exposed end of the elongate body member in order to be able to attach device to the desired surface.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Amy J. Sterling at telephone number 571-272-6823. The examiner can normally be reached (M-F 8 a.m.-5:00 p.m.). If attempts to

Art Unit: 3632

Page 6

reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leslie Braun can be reached at 571-272-6815. The fax machine number for the Technology center is 703-872-9306 (formal amendments) or 571-273-6823 (informal amendments and communications). Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center receptionist at 571-272-3600.

Amy J. Sterling 6/22/05